



**Europäisches
Patentamt**

**European
Patent Office**

**Office européen
des brevets**

PCT/EP 03 / 08081

REC'D 26 NOV 2003

WIPO

PCT

Bescheinigung

Certificate

Attestation

Die angehefteten Unterlagen stimmen mit der ursprünglich eingereichten Fassung der auf dem nächsten Blatt bezeichneten europäischen Patentanmeldung überein.

The attached documents are exact copies of the European patent application described on the following page, as originally filed.

Les documents fixés à cette attestation sont conformes à la version initialement déposée de la demande de brevet européen spécifiée à la page suivante.

Patentanmeldung Nr. Patent application No. Demande de brevet n°

03013694.9

**PRIORITY
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

**CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

Der Präsident des Europäischen Patentamts;
Im Auftrag

For the President of the European Patent Office

Le Président de l'Office européen des brevets
p.o.

R C van Dijk

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Anmeldung Nr:
Application no.: 03013694.9
Demande no:

Anmeldetag:
Date of filing: 17.06.03
Date de dépôt:

Anmelder/Applicant(s)/Demandeur(s):

PACT XPP Technologies AG
Muthmannstrasse 1
80939 München
ALLEMAGNE

Bezeichnung der Erfindung/Title of the invention/Titre de l'invention:
(Falls die Bezeichnung der Erfindung nicht angegeben ist, siehe Beschreibung.
If no title is shown please refer to the description.
Si aucun titre n'est indiqué se référer à la description.)

Processor coupling

In Anspruch genommene Priorität(en) / Priority(ies) claimed / Priorité(s)
revendiquée(s)
Staat/Tag/Aktenzeichen/State/Date/File no./Pays/Date/Numéro de dépôt:

Internationale Patentklassifikation/International Patent Classification/
Classification internationale des brevets:

G06F15/76

Am Anmeldetag benannte Vertragstaaten/Contracting states designated at date of
filing/Etats contractants désignées lors du dépôt:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LU MC NL
PT RO SE SI SK TR LI

Akte: PACT45/EP

European Patent Application

EPO - Munich
80
17. Juni 2003

Applicant: PACT XPP Technologies AG
Muthmannstraße 1
80939 München

5

Representative: European Patent Attorney
Claus Peter Pietruk
Heinrich-Lilienfein-Weg 5
D-76229 Karlsruhe
ID-No. 0 085 850

10

Title: Processor coupling

15

Description

Datapath and Compiler Integration of Coarse-grain Reconfigurable XPP-Arrays into Pipelined RISC Processors

Abstract – Nowadays, the datapaths of modern microprocessors reach their limits by using static instruction sets. A way out of this limitations is a dynamic reconfigurable processor datapath extension achieved by integrating traditional static datapaths with the coarse-grain dynamic reconfigurable XPP-architecture (eXtreme Processing Platform). Therefore, a loosely asynchronous coupling mechanism of the corresponding datapath units has been developed and integrated onto a CMOS 0.13 μm standard cell technology from UMC. Here the SPARC compatible LEON processor is used, whereas its static pipelined instruction datapath has been extended to be configured and personalized for specific applications. This allows a various and efficient use, e.g. in streaming application domains like MPEG-4, digital filters, mobile communication modulation, etc. The chosen coupling technique allows asynchronous concurrency of the additionally configured compound instructions, which are integrated into the programming and compilation environment of the LEON processor.

Introduction

The limitations of conventional processors are becoming more and more evident. The growing importance of stream-based applications makes coarse-grain dynamically reconfigurable architectures an attractive alternative [3], [4], [6], [7]. They combine the performance of ASICs, which are very risky and expensive (development and mask costs), with the flexibility of traditional processors [5].

In spite of the possibilities we have today in VLSI development, the basic concepts of microprocessor architectures are the same as 20 years ago. The main processing unit of modern conventional microprocessors, the datapath, in its actual structure follows the same style guidelines as its predecessors. Although the development of pipelined architectures or superscalar concepts in combination with data and instruction caches increases the performance of a modern

microprocessor and allows higher frequency rates, the main concept of a static datapath remains. Therefore, each operation is a composition of basic instructions that the used processor owns. The benefit of the processor concept lays in the ability of executing strong control dominant application. Data or stream oriented applications are not well suited for this environment. The sequential instruction execution isn't the right target for that kind of applications and needs high bandwidth because of permanent retransmitting of instruction/data from and to memory. This handicap is often eased by using of caches in various stages. A sequential interconnection of filters, which do the according data manipulating without writing back the intermediate results would get the right optimisation and reduction of bandwidth. Practically, this kind of chain of filters should be constructed in a logical way and configured during runtime. Existing approach to extend instruction sets use static modules, not modifiable during runtime.

Customized microprocessors or ASICs are optimized for one special application environment. It is nearly impossible to use the same microprocessor core for another application without losing the performance gain of this architecture.

A new approach of a flexible and high performance datapath concept is needed, which allows to reconfigure the functionality and make this core mainly application independent without losing the performance needed for stream-based applications.

This contribution introduces a new concept of loosely coupled implementation of the dynamic reconfigurable XPP architecture from PACT Corp. into a static datapath of the SPARC compatible LEON processor. Thus, this approach is different from those, where the XPP operates as a completely separate (master) component within one Configurable System-on-Chip (CSoC), together with a processor core, global/local memory topologies and efficient multi-layer Amba-bus interfaces [11]. Here, from the programmers point of view the extended and adapted datapath seems like a dynamic configurable instruction set. It can be customized for a specific application and accelerate the execution enormously. Therefore, the programmer has to

create a number of configurations, which can be updated to the XPP-Array at run time, e.g. this configuration can be used like a filter to calculate stream-oriented data. It is also possible, to configure more than one function in the same time and use them simultaneously. This concept promises an enormously performance boost and the needed flexibility and power reduction to perform a series of applications very effective.

1. LEON RISC Microprocessor

For implementation of this concept we chose the 32-bit SPARC V8 compatible microprocessor [1] [2], LEON. This microprocessor is a synthesisable, free available VHDL model which has a load/store architecture and has a five stages pipeline implementation with separated instruction and data caches.




Figure 1: LEON Architecture Overview

As shown in Figure 1 the LEON is provided with a full implementation of AMBA 2.0 AHB and APB on-chip bus, a hardware multiplier and divider, programmable 8/16/32-bit memory controller for external PROM, static RAM and SDRAM and several on-chip peripherals such as timers, UARTs, interrupt controller and a 16-bit I/O port. A simple power down mode is implemented as well. LEON is developed by the European Space Agency (ESA) for future space missions. The performance of LEON is close to an ARM9 series but don't have a memory management unit (MMU) implementation, which limits the use to single memory space applications. In Figure 2 the datapath of the LEON integer unit is shown.




Figure 2: LEON Pipelined Datapath Structure

2. eXtreme Processing Platform - XPP

The XPP architecture [6], [7], [8] is based on a hierarchical array of coarse-grain, adaptive computing elements called *Processing Array Elements (PAEs)* and a *packet-oriented communication network*. The strength of the XPP technology originates from the combination of array processing with unique, powerful run-time reconfiguration mechanisms. Since configuration control is distributed over a *Configuration Manager (CM)* embedded in the array, PAEs can be configured rapidly in parallel while neighboring PAEs are processing data. Entire applications can be configured and run independently on different parts of the array. Reconfiguration is triggered externally or even by special event signals originating within the array, enabling self-reconfiguring designs. By utilizing protocols implemented in hardware, data and event packets are used to process, generate, decompose and merge streams of data.

The XPP has some similarities with other coarse-grain reconfigurable architectures like the KressArray [3] or Raw Machines [4], which are specifically designed for stream-based applications. XPP's main distinguishing features are its automatic packet-handling mechanisms and its sophisticated hierarchical configuration protocols for runtime- and self-reconfiguration.

2.1 Array Structure

A CM consists of a state machine and internal RAM for configuration caching. The PAC itself (see top right-hand side of Figure 3) contains a configuration bus which connects the CM with PAEs and other configurable objects. Horizontal busses carry data and events. They can be segmented by configurable switch-objects, and connected to PAEs and special I/O objects at the periphery of the device.

A PAE is a collection of PAE objects. The typical PAE shown in Figure 3 (bottom) contains a BREG object (back registers) and an FREG object (forward registers) which are used for vertical routing, as well as an ALU object which performs the actual computations. The ALU performs common fixed-point arithmetical and logical operations as well as several special threeinput opcodes like multiply-add, sort, and counters. Events generated by ALU objects depend on ALU results or exceptions, very similar to the state flags of a classical microprocessor. A counter, e.g., generates a special event only after it has terminated. The next section explains how these events are used. Another PAE object implemented in the XPP is a memory object which can be used in FIFO mode or as RAM for lookup tables, intermediate results etc. However, any PAE object functionality can be included in the XPP architecture.

2.2 Packet Handling and Synchronization

PAE objects as defined above communicate via a packet-oriented network. Two types of packets are sent through the array: data packets and event packets. Data packets have a uniform bit width specific to the device type. In normal operation mode, PAE objects are self-synchronizing. An operation is performed as soon as all necessary data input packets are available. The results are forwarded as soon as they are available, provided the previous results have been consumed. Thus it is possible to map a signal-flow graph directly to ALU objects. Event packets are one bit wide. They transmit state information which controls ALU execution and packet generation.

2.3 Configuration

Every PAE stores locally its current configuration state, i.e. if it is part of a configuration or not (states „configured“ or „free“). Once a PAE is configured, it changes its state to „configured“. This prevents the CM from reconfiguring a PAE which is still used by another application. The CM caches the

configuration data in its internal RAM until the required PAEs become available.




Figure 3: Structure of an XPP device

While loading a configuration, all PAEs start to compute their part of the application as soon as they are in state „configured“. Partially configured applications are able to process data without loss of packets. This concurrency of configuration and computation hides configuration latency.

2.4 XPP Application Mapping

The Native Mapping Language (NML), a PACT proprietary structural language with reconfiguration primitives, was developed by PACT to map applications to the XPP array. It gives the programmer direct access to all hardware features. In NML, configurations consist of modules which are specified as in a structural hardware description language, similar to, for instance, structural VHDL. PAE objects are explicitly allocated, optionally placed, and their connections specified. Hierarchical modules allow component reuse, especially for repetitive layouts. Additionally, NML includes statements to support configuration handling. A complete NML application program consists of one or more modules, a sequence of initially configured modules, differential changes, and statements which map event signals to configuration and prefetch requests. Thus configuration handling is an explicit part of the application program.

A complete XPP Development Suite (XDS) is available from PACT. For more details on XPP-based architectures and development tools see [6].

3. LEON Instruction Datapath Extension

The system is designed to offer a maximum of performance. LEON and XPP should be able to communicate with each other in a simple and high performance manner. While the XPP is a dataflow orientated device, the LEON is a general purpose processor, suitable for handling control flow [1], [2]. Therefore, LEON is used for system control. To do this, the XPP is integrated into the datapath of the LEON integer unit, which is able to control the XPP.




Figure 4: Extended Datapath Overview

Due to unpredictable operation time of the XPP algorithm, integration of XPP into LEON datapath is done in a loosely-coupled way (Figure 4). Thus the XPP array can operate independent from the LEON, which is able to control and reconfigure the XPP during runtime. Since the configuration of XPP is handled by LEON, the CM of the XPP is unnecessary and can be left out of the XPP array. The configuration codes are stored in the LEON RAM. LEON transfers the needed configuration from its system RAM into the XPP and creates the needed algorithm on the array.

To enable a maximum of independence of XPP from LEON, all ports of the XPP – input ports as well as output ports – are buffered using dual clock FIFOs. Dual-clocked FIFOs are implemented into the IO-Ports between LEON and XPP. To transmit data to the extended XPP-based datapath the data are passed through an IO-Port as shown in Figure 5. In addition to the FIFO the IO-Ports contain logic to

generate handshake signals and an interrupt request signal. The IO-Port for receiving data from XPP is similar to Figure 5 except that the reversed direction of the data signals. This enables that XPP can work completely independent from LEON as long as there are input data available in the input port FIFOs and free space for result data in the output port FIFOs. There are a number of additionally features implemented in the LEON pipeline to control the data transfer between LEON and XPP.




Figure 5: LEON-to-XPP dual-clock FIFO

When LEON tries to write to an IO-Port containing a full FIFO or read from an IO-Port containing an empty FIFO a trap is generated. This trap can be handled through a trap handler. There is a further mechanism - pipeline-holding - implemented, to allow LEON holding the pipeline and wait for free FIFO space during XPP write access respectively wait for a valid FIFO value during XPP read access. When using pipeline-holding the software developer has to avoid reading from an IO-Port with empty FIFO while the XPP, respectively the XPP input IO-Ports, contains no data to produce outputs. In this case a deadlock will occur and the complete system has to be reseted.

XPP can generate interrupts for the LEON when trying to read a value from an empty FIFO port or to write a value to a full FIFO port. The occurrence of interrupts indicates, that the XPP array cannot process the next step because it has either no input values or it cannot output the result value. The interrupts generated by the XPP are maskable.

The interface provides information about the FIFOs. LEON can read the number of valid values the FIFO contains.

The interface to the XPP appears to the LEON as a set of special registers. (Figure 6). These XPP registers can be categorized in communication registers and status registers.

contains a clock frequency ratio between LEON and XPP. By writing this register LEON software can set the XPP clock relative to LEON clock. This allows to adapt the XPP clock frequency to the




Figure 6: Extended LEON Instruction Pipeline

For data exchange the XPP communication registers are used. Since XPP provides three different types of communication ports, there are also three types of communication registers, whereas every type is splitted into an input part and an output part:

The data for the process are accessed through XPP data registers. The number of data input and data output ports as well as the data bitwidth depends on the implemented XPP array.

XPP can generate and consume events. Events are one bit signals. The number of input events and output events depends on the implemented XPP array again.

Configuration of the XPP is done through the XPP configuration register. LEON reads the required configuration value from a file – stored in his system RAM – and writes it to the XPP configuration register.

There are a number of XPP status register implemented to control the behavior and get status information of the interface. Switching between the usage of trap handling and pipeline holding can be done in the hold register. A XPP clock register

required XPP performance and consequently to influence the power consumption of the system. Writing zero to the XPP clock register turns off the XPP. At last there is a status register for every FIFO containing the number of valid values actually available in the FIFO.

This status registers provides a maximum of felxibility in communication between LEON and XPP and enables different communication modes:

- If there is only one application running on the system at the time, software may be developed in pipeline-hold mode. Here LEON initiates data read or write from respectively to XPP. If there is no value to read respectively no value to write, LEON pipeline will be stopped until read or write is possible. This can be used to reduce power consumption of the LEON part.
- In interrupt mode, XPP can influence the LEON program flow. Thus, the IO-Ports generates an interrupt depending on the actual number of values available in the FIFO. The communication between LEON and XPP as done in interrupt service routines.

- Polling mode is the classical way to access the XPP. Initiated by a timer-event LEON reads all XPP ports containing data and writes all XPP ports containing free FIFO space. Between these phases LEON can compute other calculations.

It is anytime possible to switch between this strategies within one application.

The XPP is delivered containing a configuration manager to handle configuration and reconfiguration of the array. In this concept the configuration manager is dispensable because the configuration as well as any reconfiguration is controlled by the LEON through the XPP configuration register. All XPP configurations used for an application are stored in the LEON's system RAM.

4. Tool and Compiler Integration

The LEON's SPARC 8 instruction set [1] was extended by a new subset of instructions to make the new XPP registers accessible through software. These instructions are based in the SPARC instruction format but they are not conform to the SPARC V8 standard. Corresponding to the SPARC conventions of a load/store Architecture the instruction subset can be splitted in two general types.

Load/store instructions can exchange data between the LEON memory and the XPP communication registers. The number of cycles per instruction are similar to the standard load/store instructions of the LEON. Read/write instructions are used for communications between LEON registers. Since the LEON register-set is extended by the XPP registers the read/write instructions are extended also to access XPP registers. Status registers can only be accessed with read/write instructions. Execution of arithmetic instructions on XPP registers is not possible. Values have to be written to standard LEON registers before they can be target of arithmetic operations. The complete system can still operate any SPARC V8 compatible code. Doing this, the XPP is completely unused.

The LEON is provided with the LECCS cross compiler system [9] standing under the terms of LGPL. This system consists of modified versions of the binutils 2.11 and gcc 2.95.2. To make the new instruction subset available to software developers,

the assembler of the binutils has been extended by a number of instructions according to the implemented instruction subset. The new instructions have the same mnemonic as the regular SPARC V8 load, store, read and write instructions. Only the new XPP registers have to be used as source respectively target operand. Since the modifications of LECCS are straightforward extensions, the cross compiler system is backward compatible to the original version. The availability of the source code of LECCS has allowed to extend the tools by the new XPP operations in the described way.

The development of the XPP algorithms have to be done with separate tools, provided by PACT Corp.

5. Application Results

As a first analysis application a inverse DCT applied to 8x8 pixel block was implemented. For all simulations we used 250 MHz clock frequency for LEON processor and 50 MHZ clock frequency for XPP. The usage of XPP accelerates the computation of the IDCT about

	LEON alone	LEON with XPP in IRQ Mode	LEON with XPP in Poll Mode	LEON with XPP in Hold Mode
Configuration of XPP	—	71.308 ns 17.827 cycles	84.364 ns 21.091 cycles	77.976 ns 19.494 cycles
2D IDCT (8x8)	14.672 ns 3.668 cycles	3.272 ns 818 cycles	3.872 ns 968 cycles	3.568 ns 892 cycles

Table 1 Performance on IDCT (8x8)




Figure 7 Computation Time of IDCT (8x8)

factor four, depending on the communication mode. However XPP has to be configured before computing the IDCT on it. Table 1 also shows the configuration time for this algorithm. As shown in

performance boost of this concept against the standalone LEON will be increased.

6. Conclusion




Figure 8 MPEG-4 Decoder Blockdiagram




Figure 7, the benefit brought by XPP rises with the number of IDCT blocks computed by it before reconfiguration, so the number of reconfigurations during complex algorithms should be minimised. A first complex application implemented on the system is MPEG-4 decoding. The optimization of the algorithm partitioning on LEON and XPP is still under construction. In Figure 8 the blockdiagram of the MPEG-4 decoding algorithm is shown. Frames with 320 x 240 pixel was decoded. LEON by using SPARC V8 standard instructions decodes one frame in 23,46 seconds. In a first implementation of MPEG-4 using the XPP, only the IDCT is computed by XPP, the rest of the MPEG-4 decoding is still done with LEON. Now, with the help of XPP, one frame is decoded in 17,98 s. This is a performance boost of more then twenty percent. Since the XPP performance gain by accelerating the IDCT algorithm only is very low in the moment, we work on XPP implementations of Huffmann-decoding, dequantisation and prediction-decoding. So the

Today, the instruction datapaths of modern microprocessors reach their limits by using static instruction sets, driven by the traditional von Neumann or Harvard architectural principles. A way out of these limitations is a dynamic reconfigurable processor datapath extension achieved by integrating traditional static datapaths with the coarse-grain dynamic reconfigurable XPP-architecture (eXtreme Processing Platform). Therefore, a loosely asynchronous coupling mechanism of the given instruction datapath has been developed and integrated onto a CMOS 0.13 μm standard cell technology from UMC. Here, the SPARC compatible LEON RISC processor is used, whereas its static pipelined instruction datapath has been extended to be configured and personalized for specific applications. This compiler-compatible instruction set extension allows a various and efficient use, e.g. in streaming application domains like MPEG-4, digital filters, mobile communication modulation, etc. The introduced coupling technique by flexible dual-clock FIFO interfaces allows asynchronous concurrency and adapting the frequency of the configured XPP datapath dependent on actual performance requirements, e.g. for avoiding unneeded cycles and reducing power consumption.

As represented above, the introduced concept combines the flexibility of a general purpose microprocessor with the performance and power consumption of coarse-grain reconfigurable datapath structures, nearly comparable to ASIC performance. Here, two programming and computing paradigms (control-driven von Neumann and transport-triggered XPP) are unified within one hybrid architecture with the option of two clock

domains. The ability to reconfigure the transport-triggered XPP makes the system independent from standards or specific applications. This concept opens potential to develop multi-standard communication devices like software radios by using one extended processor architecture with adapted programming and compilation tools. Thus, new standards can be easily implemented through software updates. The system is scalable during design time through the scalable array-structure of the used XPP extension. This extends the range of suitable applications from products with less multimedia functions to complex high performance systems.

7. References

- [1] The SPARC Architecture Manual, Version 8, SPARC international INC., <http://www.sparc.com>
- [2] Jiri Gaisler: The LEON Processor User's Manual, <http://www.gaisler.com>
- [3] R. Hartenstein, R. Kress, and H. Reinig. A new FPGA architecture for word-oriented datapaths. In Proc. FPL'94, Prague, Czech Republic, September 1994. Springer LNCS 849
- [4] E. Waingold, M. Taylor, D. Srikrishna, V. Sarkar, W. Lee, V. Lee, J. Kim, M. Frank, and P. Finch. Baring it all to software: Raw machines. IEEE Computer, pages 86-93, September 1997
- [5] J. Becker (Invited Tutorial): Configurable Systems-on-Chip (CSoC); in: Proc. of 9th Proc. of XV Brazilian Symposium on Integrated Circuit Design (SBCCI 2002), Porto Alegre, Brazil, September 5-9, 2002
- [6] PACT Corporation: <http://www.pactcorp.com>
- [7] The XPP Communication System, PACT Corporation, Technical Report 15, 2000
- [8] V. Baumgarte, F. Mayr, A. Nückel, M. Vorbach, M. Weinhardt: PACT XPP - A Self-Reconfigurable Data Processing Architecture; The 1st Int'l. Conference of Engineering of Reconfigurable Systems and Algorithms (ERSA'01), Las Vegas, NV, June 2001
- [9] LEON/ERC32 Cross Compilation System (LECCS), <http://www.gaisler.com/leccs.htm>
- [10] M. Vorbach, J. Becker: Reconfigurable Processor Architectures for Mobile Phones; Reconfigurable Architectures Workshop (RAW 2003), Nice, France, April, 2003
- [11] J. Becker, M. Vorbach: Architecture, Memory and Interface Technology Integration of an Industrial/Academic Configurable System-on-Chip (CSoC); IEEE Computer Society Annual Workshop on VLSI (WVLSI 2003), Tampa, Florida, USA, February, 2003

Akte: PACT45/EP

EPO - Munich
80
17. Juni 2003

European Patent Application

Applicant: PACT XPP Technologies AG
Muthmannstraße 1
5 80939 München

Representative: European Patent Attorney
Claus Peter Pietruk
Heinrich-Lilienfein-Weg 5
10 D-76299 Karlsruhe
ID-No. 0 085 850

Title: Processor coupling

15
Claims

1. Method of simultaneously operating a sequential proces-
20 sor and a reconfigurable array wherein data are trans-
ferred into said reconfigurable array from a data cache
to said array and wherein results produced in said array
from said data are written to a destination.
- 25 2. Method according to claim 1, wherein said destination is
placed upstream the arithmetic unit of said sequential
processor.
3. Method according to the previous claim, wherein the data
30 output from said reconfigurable array is, at least in
part, fed into the data path of said processor unit

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

downstream the decoding circuitry of said processing unit.

4. Method according to any of the previous claims, wherein
5 the arithmetic logic unit of said processor is adapted to perform at least one operation on said data outputted from said reconfigurable array.
- 10 5. Method according to any of the previous claims, wherein the arithmetic-logic-circuitry comprises circuitry for multiplication and/or division and/or in particular said operation performed on said data outputted from said reconfigurable array comprises a multiplication and/or division and/or norming.
- 15 6. Method according to any of the previous claims, wherein said data outputted from said reconfigurable array is, preferably selectably writable to a memory location other than said cache and/or the register of said sequential processing unit.
- 20 7. Method according to any of the previous claims, wherein said destination is downstream of the arithmetic logic unit and/or upstream of the cache coupled to said processing unit.
- 25

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.